APPROVED: 8/3/2017

City of Eustis, Florida

City Hall
10 North Grove Street
Post Office Drawer 68
Eustis, FL 32727-0068

Meeting Minutes – Final*
City Commission

Thursday, July 20, 2017 | **6:00 PM** | **City Hall**

INVOCATION: In lieu of an invocation, a moment of silence was held.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Vice Mayor Aliberti

CALL TO ORDER: Mayor Morin - 6:01 p.m.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF QUORUM AND PROPER NOTICE

Present: 5 - Vice-Mayor Marie Aliberti; Commissioner Linda Bob; Commissioner Carla Gnann-Thompson; Commissioner Anthony Sabatini and Mayor Robert Morin

I. AGENDA UPDATE - None

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

16408 July 6, 2017 - City Commission Workshop
July 6, 2017 - Regular City Commission Meeting

A motion was made by Commissioner Sabatini, seconded by Commissioner Gnann-Thompson, that the Minutes be Approved . The motion passed by an unanimous vote.

III. AUDIENCE TO BE HEARD

Daniel DiVenanzo addressed the Commission regarding Commissioner Sabatini's residency. He explained he spoke with several people in Tallahassee regarding the situation and none could come to a definitive answer. He provided comments on the community and Mr. Sabatini's life in Eustis.

Rachel Holtzclaw expressed concern regarding the negative publicity surrounding Mr. Sabatini's residence particularly in the newspapers. She noted her daughters always used their Eustis address as their permanent address when going to college. She stated her concern is that Mr. Sabatini had to declare his address was in Gainesville in order to register to vote there. She further expressed concern regarding the misrepresentation of facts and the loss of trust. She stated that all of the Commissioners need to remember that they represent the City of Eustis.

Brenda Aro addressed the Commission on behalf of the residents on Lakeshore Drive - "Concerned Citizens of Lakeshore Drive". She expressed concern regarding traffic on Lakeshore Drive and acknowledged there are three governmental bodies that govern the road. She stated their request for increased enforcement, better signage and more standard speed limit and noted growth in the area impacting traffic patterns. She stated their desire for the road not to become a major thoroughfare.

James Aro commented on the number of tractor trailers using Lakeshore Drive. He noted the number of people that have to cross the road to access their docks and the danger to them.

Gene Day, resident next to bridge on Lakeshore Drive, expressed opposition to the large trucks using the road and the bridge and the hazard to the bridge because of the oversize vehicles. He stated there needs to be signage on both ends of the road prohibiting the oversize vehicles.

William V. Zeller, American Legion Post #41, addressed the Commission regarding the cannon that used to be located in front of the American Legion building. He explained how the cannon was removed following the City purchasing the building and stated their desire to have the cannon back. He explained that he has arranged for the cannon to be restored and asked for permission for the cannon to be placed back in front of the Legion building. He also asked for a new concrete pad to be poured for placement of the cannon and for assistance with the cost for restoring the wheels. He expressed support for a recognition plaque to be placed recognizing the history of the cannon and those entities offering pro bono assistance.

Ron Neibert, City Manager, stated he would get a cost estimate from Dr. Zeller and bring back information to the Commission for consideration. He indicated he would research information as to why the cannon was removed.

Fred Gardner also spoke regarding the traffic issues on Lakeshore Drive. He noted his discussion with the Police Department regarding the problems. He agreed that there needs to be one speed limit the length of the road.

Mr. Neibert reported he had spoken with Chief Calhoun regarding the concerns and asked Chief Calhoun about the weight limit enforcement.

Gene Day noted that the Police Department does run enforcement near the bridge; however, he has been told they do not go after someone unless they are going at least 15 mph over the speed limit.

Chief Calhoun noted they had a traffic safety meeting with Lake County and reported they will be discussing making the road all one speed limit.

Otis Thompson reported that he was recently the victim of a home invasion and expressed concern regarding similar actions in his community and lack of response from the City.

Mr. Neibert asked Chief Calhoun to schedule a meeting with Mr. Thompson.

Doug Trask, Trask Construction, addressed the Commission regarding an issue with a building permit and unpaid invoice from a customer.

Monica Kimball, Trask Construction office manager, read a prepared statement to the Commission.

Mr. Neibert stated he would meet with Mr. Trask and his staff regarding their questions. He stated he would provide a summary of the outcome to the Commission.

Rachel Prisler addressed the Commission regarding increased crime in the City and how to get more law enforcement presence particularly during the day.

Mr. Neibert explained that there was recently a joint meeting of various law enforcement agencies on how to address those concerns. He encouraged Ms. Prisler to discuss the issue with Capt. Gary Winheim.

Richard Colvin, Lake Eustis Museum of Art, expressed support for the downtown wayfinding program to assist the downtown businesses.

Alan Johnson addressed the Commission regarding the need to compromise regarding the millage rate and budget noting the City's current rate and expressed support for reducing the millage rate at least slightly. He expressed opposition to spending City funds on investigating the residency issue and support for allowing chickens in the City.

Tom Carrino, Economic Development Director, pursuant to previous Commission request, presented an overview of the upcoming City events. He noted the recent CRA community meeting to receive public input. He stated, in order to allow more public input, staff created a survey which is *accessible through the City's website. He explained they are asking people to select the eight most important things they would like to see the City undertake. He confirmed that it is an anonymous survey but each person can only take it once.*

Gail Isaac Thomas noted she also attended the CRA community meeting. She stated it was suggested that the survey be included in the water bills since not everyone has internet access with Mr. Neibert indicating he would have that done.

IV. CONSENT AGENDA

Commission Sabatini asked that the Capital Improvement Plan be removed from the Consent Agenda.

16402 Resolution No. 17-48: Opposing the reorganization of the Lake Sumter Metropolitan Planning Organization

16404 Resolution No. 17-49: Rescinding and replacing Resolution No. 17-35

A motion was made by Commissioner Bob, seconded by Commissioner Gnann-Thompson, to Approve the Consent Agenda. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 5 - Commissioner Sabatini; Vice-Mayor Aliberti; Commissioner Bob; Commissioner Gnann-Thompson; and Mayor Morin

16398 Acceptance of the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for FY 2018-2022

Commissioner Sabatini expressed support for the CIP; however, he stated he had concerns regarding the skate park. He noted there are already skate parks in Tavares and Umatilla. He explained that he met with Public Works and some local skateboarders regarding design. He stated that the City does not have sufficient funds available to do a great design that would make it a destination. He acknowledged the grant funds received for the project and recommended postponing the project.

Mr. Neibert explained that keeping it in the CIP would not be a mandate to complete the project. He stated that a contract would be brought to the Commission for selection of a design firm.

Rick Gierok, Public Works Director, stated that the City currently has an RFQ out for a design/build for a skateboard construction specialist. He explained staff has shortlisted to two and they will be making a presentation on their proposals and based on those presentations, staff would bring forward a recommendation. He acknowledged that what the City has the budget for would not be like the parks utilized for skateboard competitions. He explained the City will also be redoing the tennis courts and other projects that were used as matching to get the grant funds.

The Commission discussed the following: 1) Removing the project from the CIP and then having a discussion based on the presentations about adding it back in; 2) The number of skateboarders observed within the City and the lack of youth programming; 3) The attendance by young people at Commission meetings where they requested consideration for building a skatepark; 4) The need for youth activities to be met within the City rather than them going elsewhere; and 5) The poor condition of the facilities at Sunset Island.

Mr. Neibert recommended leaving the project in the CIP for now and explained it would be easier to deny the contract when presented rather than redoing the CIP.

A motion was made by Commissioner Bob, seconded by Vice-Mayor Aliberti, to Accept the Capital Improvement Plan as submitted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Vice-Mayor Aliberti; Commissioner Bob; Commissioner Gnann-Thompson; and Mayor Morin

Nay: 1 - Commissioner Sabatini

V. ORDINANCES, PUBLIC HEARINGS & QUASI-JUDICIAL HEARINGS

FIRST READING

16399 Ordinance No. 17-09: Annual update of the Five Year Capital Improvements Schedule for the Comprehensive Plan for FY 2017-18.

Zack Broome, Acting City Attorney, read Ordinance No. 17-09 by title on first reading: An Ordinance of the City Commission of Eustis, Florida; approving the annual update of the five year Capital Improvements Schedule of the Comprehensive Plan pursuant to F.S. 163.3177(3)5(b); providing for conflicting ordinances, severability, and effective date.

A motion was made by Commissioner Gnann-Thompson, seconded by Vice-Mayor Aliberti, to Approve Ordinance No. 17-09 on First Reading. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Vice-Mayor Aliberti; Commissioner Bob; Commissioner Gnann-Thompson; and Mayor Morin

Nay: 1 - Commissioner Sabatini

VI. OTHER BUSINESS

16409 Commission discussion on Downtown Business Wayfinding Signage

Tom Carrino, Economic Development Director, explained the need for the business wayfinding signage. He reviewed the Mount Dora wayfinding program and its basic criteria. He then reviewed the various issues that would need to be addressed and explained the difficulty with placing poles in state right-of-way.

The Commission discussed the following: 1) The need to provide information to residents regarding what businesses are located downtown; 2) The intent of the proposal being for directional purposes not advertising; 3) Support for the more interesting signage format rather than standardized signs; 4) The possibility of having lower signs for pedestrian traffic and taller signs for view of vehicular traffic; 5) The need for all businesses to participate and for keeping the price as low as possible to facilitate that participation; 6) Allowing all businesses to participate in the program not just some; and 7) The number of businesses that have expressed the need for directional signage due to their locations.

CONSENSUS: It was a consensus of the Commission for staff to proceed with development of a program to be brought back for Commission consideration.

16400 Proposed Millage Rate for 2017 (Fiscal Year 2018) and Date of First Budget Public Hearing

Colleen Scott, Finance Director, informed the Commission that the previously discussed skatepark is in the current year's Capital Improvement Plan and not in the one the Commission just approved. She then provided a history of the City's property values and millage rates from 2007 to 2017. She noted the reduction in staff by 32 fulltime positions between 2007 and 2012. She provided a graph showing the tax roll values and reported on the effect from the recession. She reviewed a ranking of all the cities in the County by millage rates and noted the number of cities that also have a fire assessment fee, solid waste assessment and/or streetlight assessment. She provided a comparison of taxable property values and the effect of population and streets on the City's share of the gas tax revenues. She stated changes in ad valorem are effected by property values, new construction and annexation. She explained that the growth of the other cities would negatively affect the City. She commented on the impending additional homestead exemption and the effect on the City's revenues. She provided a review of what would be collected at the recommended rate versus the roll back rate.

The Commission discussed the amount of revenue that would be collected under the rollback rate *with Mr. Neibert explaining the "new revenue" generated by the increase in assessed value and maintaining the same millage rate would generate approximately $550,000 this year with a little over half from property assessment increases by the Property Appraiser with the remainder from annexation and new construction.*

Ms. Scott stated staff is recommending the millage rate be set at 7.5810, the same as for the past several years, and that the first public hearing be set for September 7, 2017 and the second hearing be set for September 21, 2017, with Mr. Neibert confirming that at the final approval of the millage rate the Commission could vote to reduce the millage rate.

The Commission commented on the following: 1) The City's financial state and the need for the City to grow in order to be able to offer improved services and better maintenance of infrastructure; and 2) The 2018 ballot referendum to approve an additional $25,000 homestead exemption.

Mr. Neibert commented on how tight the budget is and stated that to go to the rollback rate would further impact fund balance and reducing the budget by an additional $250,000 would affect City services. He noted that the City is only providing 2% salary increases where other local cities are offering 4 and 5%.

The Commission discussed implementing the rollback rate; possible projects that could be deferred; and the possibility that implementing the rollback rate, coupled with an increased homestead exemption, would negatively affect the City.

Mr. Neibert explained that all of the projects cited are not being paid for out of the General Fund so deferring them would not help the General Fund.

A motion was made by Vice-Mayor Aliberti, seconded by Commissioner Gnann-Thompson, to set the tentative millage rate at 7.581 and the first public hearing to be held on September 7, 2017. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Vice-Mayor Aliberti; Commissioner Bob; Commissioner Gnann-Thompson; and Mayor Morin

Nay: 1 - Commissioner Sabatini

The Commission recessed at 7:34 p.m. and reconvened at 7:44 p.m.

16406 Discussion: New State Law Regarding Medical Marijuana Dispensaries

Lori Barnes, Development Services Director, explained recently a law was enacted by the State legislature regarding medical marijuana dispensaries and noted the City's previous approval to treat medical marijuana dispensaries the same as pharmacies. She stated the new legislation allows local governments to either treat the dispensaries the same as pharmacies or to ban them outright. She further explained the new state regulations do not allow facilities within 500 feet of schools and prohibits signage from including wording or images that target children or encourage recreational marijuana use. She cited recent challenges to the state regulations. She added that the County is considering what the local cities are planning to do before making a decision and they will be bringing forward a recommendation to extend their current moratorium until November. She reported that Lady Lake and Umatilla will be banning the dispensaries and Leesburg banned them in 2014. She stated that the Commission's options are to 1) Take no action - current LDR's treat dispensaries like pharmacies; 2) Direct staff to prepare an ordinance banning the dispensaries; or 3) Delay taking action but it could allow dispensaries to open in the meantime.

The Commission confirmed they are currently treated under City ordinance as pharmacies with Ms. Barnes stating they have had no applications but have received two inquiries.

Mr. Neibert explained the City has received no applications as the City's business tax receipt (BTR) ordinance requires them to first have a Lake County BTR. Since the County has the moratorium in place, applicants cannot receive a County BTR at this time.

The Commission discussed the following: 1) Waiting to see what the County does and what happens with the lawsuits; and 2) How any dispensaries would be regulated with Ms. Barnes explaining that the State Office of Compassionate Use will make sure they meet all state statutory requirements and they have all required security. The City would any issue any building permits and insure they meet construction and life/safety codes.

Mr. Neibert explained that the City would not experience any additional costs for monitoring as the City would not be responsible for monitoring.

The Commission questioned if existing pharmacies would be the first applicants with Ms. Barnes explaining that the federal law still considers marijuana as a controlled substance. Because of that, regular pharmacies are not showing an interest in acting as a dispensary with Mr. Neibert noting that the dispensaries must be operated on a cash basis because to conduct the business through bank transactions would be a violation of federal banking laws.

The Commission further discussed the following: 1) The number of allowed dispensaries; and 2) The separation of marijuana growth and dispensing.

Daniel DiVenanzo commented on the possibility of the County not extending its moratorium and then the City would have to approve the applications. He cited the number of available buildings that could be utilized and just adapted for the required security.

Alan Johnson commented on the amount of tax revenue that might be obtained through the marijuana sales. He noted the number of states that have already approved the medical marijuana and the likelihood that recreational use will be approved in the future.

The Commission discussed implementation of a temporary ban; waiting to see what the County does and how the lawsuits proceed; and the possibility of the County not renewing the moratorium and the City then having to approve any applications.

Mr. Neibert asked if a temporary ban could be implemented with Mr. Broome stating it probably could not be done that way. He reminded the Commission that, under state statute, they can't treat the dispensaries any differently than any other pharmacy.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sabatini, seconded by Commissioner Bob, for staff to draft an ordinance imposing a ban on medical marijuana dispensaries. The motion passed by an unanimous vote.

16407 Discussion: Possible Revisions to the City of Eustis Building Permit and Inspection Fee Schedule

Mary Montez, City Clerk, noted that the time was past two hours and confirmed the Commission's desire to continue the meeting.

Ms. Barnes distributed spread sheets to the Commission comparing the City's building permit fees to other jurisdictions. She noted that the fee schedule was last updated in 2010. She stated staff has two options for consideration. Option 1 included the following: 1) Increasing single family plan review from $100 to 25% of permit fee; 2) Adding an early start permit; 3) Providing differential permit fees for signs, fences and utility buildings such as sheds; 4) Adding master plan filing; 5) Adding contractor registration to clarify no fee is collected; 6) Adding a general permit ($25) for jobs not covered with cost below $1,000; and 7) Increasing by $50 the fee imposed for starting work without permits.

The Commission questioned why the changes are being considered with Ms. Barnes noting that the plan review fee doesn't even cover staff costs. She stated that right now the department is not running in the red; however, with only one or two lean years it will.

Ms. Barnes reviewed Option 2 explaining that it would include all of the previous recommendations as well as increase the base fee for most permit types. She stated the proposal would increase permit revenues by approximately $18,000 per year. She further stated it would still result in a fee schedule with overall charges less than surrounding local governments. She summarized the additional considerations as follows: 1) Fees were last updated in June 2010; 2) Adding lower cost permit options for minor projects, smaller signs and an early start permit would help both homeowners and businesses; 3) The City's fees are generally lower than that of other area local governments; and 4) In the event of a downturn in construction, building department expenditures would be covered.

Ms. Barnes stated that Commission options are to direct staff to proceed with either Option 1, Option 2, a combination of the two or no action.

Following discussion, it was a consensus of the Commission to allow time for them to review the material and have it brought back for discussion.

Ms. Barnes noted that the information distributed to the Commission is available as part of the online agenda for public review.

16405 ## ISBA Negotiating Process

Mr. Neibert reported on the discussion at the County Commission meeting where the County unanimously voted to not approve the City's compromise proposal which provided a delay in annexing the three subdivisions. He added he thought there was some support for approving the ISBA without the three developments. He stated the County Commission wanted to move forward with the joint public meeting with all three governing bodies. He asked direction from the Commission regarding moving to the joint public meeting.

The Commission questioned what the benefit would be to the City in moving forward with Mr. Neibert explaining that Mount Dora has to first approve moving to the joint meeting. If they do not, then he stated he would request another manager's negotiation meeting. He further explained his belief that if the two cities reach an agreement, then the County would approve the agreement. He stated the joint public meeting would allow the three governing bodies to openly discuss any proposals for compromise. He further explained there are other properties in that area that have developers that are waiting to annex that already have projects they want to develop within the City. He stated his belief that a proposal to the County that excludes those three subdivisions but includes the balance of the properties would appease the majority concern about the City annexing those subdivisions.

The Commission commented on the negative impact on the City if it is unable to grow. They questioned if the joint meeting would be open to the public with Mr. Neibert explaining that it would be a public meeting but they would not be required to take public comment.

Mr. Neibert then explained that the City's proposal would not include those three subdivisions so those residents would not be as interested in the proceedings. He stated everyone else would then have the ability to voluntarily annex. He indicated at Mount Dora's workshop they voted to maintain their position and not give Eustis any property. He noted they don't have to have acquiescence from Mount Dora to get the ISBA approved by the County. He stated that, at this time, there are no agreements with Mount Dora. He further explained that at a joint meeting, those issues could be discussed.

The Commission thanked the City Manager, staff and the marketing firm for their efforts at the County Commission meeting. They commented on the need for the community's support *and thanked those residents who participated. The Commission cited the benefits to the City to be able to work with the other developers in annexing into the City and the overall benefits to the community as a well being able to lower the millage rate and other advantages.*

A motion was made by Commissioner Gnann-Thompson, seconded by Vice-Mayor Aliberti, to have the ISBA negotiations proceed to the joint public meeting. The motion passed by an unanimous vote.

16403 Discussion regarding residency of Commissioner Sabatini

Mayor Morin explained the purpose of the discussion and stated there would not be any public comment. He cited the City's Charter and the Commission's responsibility to determine that anyone running for the Commission is properly qualified. He indicated that, if appropriate, a public hearing may be scheduled in the future. He asked for Commission comments.

Vice Mayor Aliberti noted the public comments earlier in the meeting regarding the residency issue. She explained the information had been provided to her and that when she reviewed it there appeared to be inconsistencies and misrepresentations.

Commissioner Sabatini asserted that the interpretation of the documents was incorrect.

Mayor Morin explained that the concern is regarding any legal impact on future votes and whether or not the City needs to seek a legal opinion regarding the documentation in order to protect the City against any future lawsuits.

Vice Mayor Aliberti noted there are differing opinions regarding interpretation of the documents. She indicated that the documents have been represented to the public as political mistakes. She stated that everyone makes mistakes and maybe it could be discussed and then the Commission could move on. She commented that Commissioner Sabatini is very intelligent and having youth on the Commission is good. She noted he is a political neophyte as is herself. She commented on the possibility of Commissioner Sabatini's mistakes affecting his future career.

Mayor Morin commented on the need for the City to obtain a legal opinion on the documentation.

Commissioner Sabatini stated his belief that the other Commissioners are purposely interpreting the documents to determine he was not a resident in the year prior to running for the Commission in order to get him off the Commission. He asserted his belief that they are attacking him politically and stated he did not misrepresent and did nothing wrong. He stated the Commission should not spend taxpayer money to proceed.

Commissioner Bob noted that Commissioner Sabatini is a college student adding that it is probably the first time a fulltime college student ran and won a seat on the Commission. She stated she did not think it is a fight the Commission needs to take. She noted Commissioner Sabatini was raised in Eustis and cited the number of times her college student daughter has moved. She emphasized she did not agree with proceeding.

Commissioner Gnann-Thompson commented on former Commissioner Bill Brett moving out of City limits. She reported she spoke with Emogene Stegall regarding a student voting in another county and Ms. Stegall told her that establishes a legal residency. Ms. Stegall indicated that a student can vote absentee. She stated that you have to follow policy whether you like it or not. If you don't like a law, then change it.

Commissioner Sabatini emphasized that no one broke the law.

Mayor Morin quoted a section of the Charter regarding the Commission as the judge of qualifications for those seeking candidacy for the Commission. He stated the Commission has an administrative commitment to follow the Charter.

Commissioner Sabatini asserted that he was duly qualified and won the election adding that they were misreading the Charter. He further asserted that he lived within the City for the entire time period required. He added that the Commission has not asked him for any documentation and cited the differences between his case and Commissioner Brett. He stated his belief that it is a political attack only.

Commissioner Gnann-Thompson emphasized that they do not know all the facts and what is the truth but it was brought up to the Commission and there are statements that appear a certain way.

Commissioner Sabatini stated the records only show he voted in another county six months prior to running, not where he resided. He recommended letting the resident who is questioning his residency bring forward a lawsuit rather than spending City money.

Commissioner Bob noted that Commissioner Sabatini's Facebook posts have offended people. She emphasized that the Commission needs to represent the City. She stated her disagreement with removing him or challenging his seat. She emphasized he was duly elected.

Commissioner Sabatini explained for the audience that he stated on Facebook that the City should cut taxes and people were offended by that.

Mayor Morin stated that the consideration is whether or not to hire an attorney in legislative law to review and provide a legal opinion.

Mr. Neibert clarified that it should be an attorney with expertise in election law. He confirmed if they wanted to direct staff to find an attorney or have the Commission select the attorney. He explained that the City Manager's budget includes a line item for legal fees.

Commissioner Sabatini asked the Commission to discuss what might occur after receiving the legal opinion and suggested holding a public hearing prior to making the decision with Mayor Morin declining.

At the Commission's request, Mr. Neibert helped to word the motion.

A motion was made by Commissioner Gnann-Thompson, seconded by Vice-Mayor Aliberti, to direct staff to engage the services of an attorney who is an expert in election law to review the documents and give an opinion to the Commission on whether or not Commissioner Sabatini met the eligibility requirements. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 3 - Vice-Mayor Aliberti; Commissioner Gnann-Thompson; and Mayor Morin

Nay: 2 - Commissioner Sabatini; and Commissioner Bob

VII. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Vice Mayor Aliberti stated she received a suggestion that the Commission consider adopting Roberts Rules of Order.

Commissioner Bob requested a report on the status of various developments and the maintenance of City buildings.

Commissioner Gnann-Thompson asked staff to get the weeding along Bay Street completed.

Mayor Morin requested an update on the state improvements to the Magnolia and Bay Street intersection with Mr. Neibert indicating staff is waiting on response from the Department of Transportation (DOT).

Mayor Morin asked to receive a report on what exactly has been requested from DOT.

Commissioner Sabatini noted the need to encourage young people to be more involved with the City. He suggested getting an iPad with a tripod in order to place the Commission meetings on Facebook Live.

Mr. Neibert indicated he would contact the City's IT Department to see what would be needed.

VIII. COMMENTS

City Commission - None

City Manager - None

City Attorney - None

Mayor

Mayor Morin noted the length of the meeting and thanked everyone for staying.

IX. ADJOURNMENT - 9:00 p.m.

Mary C. Montez
MARY C. MONTEZ
City Clerk

Robert R. Morin, Jr.
ROBERT R. MORIN, JR.
Mayor/Commissioner

*These minutes reflect the actions taken and portions of the discussion during the meeting. To review the entire discussion concerning any agenda item, go to www.eustis.org and click on the video for the meeting in question. A DVD of the entire meeting or CD of the entire audio recording or verbatim transcript of the meeting can be obtained from the office of the City Clerk for a fee.